Interview Summary	10/087,576	MANGOLD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Beemnet W. Dada</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jonathan S. Miller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 June 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Blatter et al. US 5,878,135.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
from a header. Examiner pointed out that the rejection is made based on a broad interpretion of the claim in view of the specification / dreaings and the use of the term 'near the synchronization point' is interpreted by the examiner in view figure 7 of the present application.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		,
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)